## Appendix 1 – Implemented Recommendations

Audit Title	Due Date	Recommendation	Management Response	Update/Opinion	Ownership and Actions
Section 106	31 May 2020	Reconcile the new database to the various records held across the Council and update the database to ensure details of all 106 agreements are recorded in a single place.	Accepted	The creation of the new database to bring together various records held across the Council has been completed. All the records have now been merged and the new database continues to be updated and improved on an ongoing basis. Older agreements requiring reference back to paper files for reconciliation has been completed and evidence provided to validate.  The appointment of a dedicated officer in the new structure has delayed progress but this is now complete.	No further action required
Our Town Hall: Management of Work Package Delivery and Payments	31 Aug 2021	Follow up action is undertaken by the Project Team to confirm the Construction Cost Report maintained by F&G is updated to reflect the discrepancies identified as part of the audit and ensure the figures reported is in alignment with those maintained by the management contractor.  Further work may be needed to undertake similar reconciliations for the other work packages to ensure the issues identified here are not widespread amongst other work packages.	Conduct thorough review of each Works Package to ensure accurate allocation of budget transfers against all Instructions. Transition the project from the current system of separate Lendlease and F&G cost reporting into a single project cost report based on the Kahua system.  Merge the two separate MEP packages contracted to NG Bailey into a single package to tidy up divergences.	An update provided by the project team in March 2022 confirmed all outstanding divergence to the end of January 2022 had been cleared and we reviewed evidence to support this.  We also confirmed the work package totals for those reviewed as part of the original audit agreed with no discrepancies. We were informed that there is likely to be ongoing divergence owing to timing issues, but the plan is to close these out within the routine monthly cycle.	No further action required

Supplier Due	30 Nov	The ICP team should work with the	Agreed.	The service has developed comprehensive	No further
Diligence	2021	Due Diligence Working Group,		new contract management guidance which	action
		Internal Communications and	We have a workshop with	includes ongoing financial due diligence	required
		directorate leads to develop the	Commissioning and Contracting	requirements.	
		current contract management	Leads, and Finance, on 12		
		guides to include sections on ongoing financial due diligence and disseminate guidance to all relevant contract management and commissioning staff.	August to help develop a more consistent approach to identifying, monitoring, and responding to risks.	This is available to all relevant contract and commissioning staff on the intranet.	
			We will update guidance on the		
		Guidance should include the following:	intranet accordingly and circulate to staff.		
		Consideration and reflection of relative risks in their contracts based on criticality, materiality, nature of market etc.			
		Clarification of roles and responsibilities.			
		Market Intelligence: (Given the diversity of Council contracts and commissions this will need to be flexible to reflect sectoral intelligence but could include for example GM or Core Cities Networks / Professional Networks (ADASS etc.) / Central Government Bulletins / Trade and Financial Press), sign ups to strategic supplier updates.			
		Local Intelligence: Guidance to emphasise the need for regular, ongoing contract management to consider potential red flags or lead indicators that could suggest			

potential financial failure or nondelivery of contracts. Indicators could include non / reduced attendance on site or within services, slowing of work or unexplained delays in deliverables, sub-contractor complaints over timeliness of payments. Use and frequency of 'Company Watch' reports and alerts, with a simple guide for staff on its use and interpretation. Formal escalation process, including referral to finance where concerns are flagged. The potential use of management information to facilitate oversight of contracts including those suppliers considered to be strategic suppliers to facilitate prompt action if becoming aware of any warnings indicating supplier failure.

Placement Finding: Review of Core Processes	30 Nov 2021	The Commissioning Service Manager should enhance the current controls in place to make the process around IPAs more efficient. This should consider:  Expectations around issuing IPAs following a placement and ways of ensuring these timescales are met.  How this can be easily determined when an IPA has not been returned by the provider.  Expected timescales over chasing non returned IPAs along with any forms of escalation to be applied.  Varying signatory requirements on the IPAs in accordance with the cost of the placement.	We accept the findings and will review the agreements in the IPA.	An update from the service confirmed the following actions have been taken since the previous update:  -IPAs are now completed by Centralised Placement Team officers. Weekly meetings with the Service Lead/Team Manager ensures IPAs are finalised and issued to providers more promptly.  -Clinics undertake spot checks of IPA completion.  -Commissioning officers have standard agenda items at provider meetings which includes IPA. This ensures any IPAs awaiting return are escalated formally within a contract meeting.  -The implementation of monthly keeping in touch days with providers includes the discussion of IPAs.  -Providers have been contacted by letter and reminded to return IPAs in a timely manner or payments may be put on hold.  -Future developments include providing access to the Controcc provider portal where outstanding actions can be highlighted more easily.	No further action required
---	----------------	---	---	---	----------------------------